

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/608,083	BRUNO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Srirama Channavajjala	2166

All Participants:

(1) Srirama Channavajjala.

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____.

(2) Sung T Kim, Reg. No. 45,398.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 April 2007

Time: 6-05 PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

office action mailed on 1/10/2007

Claims discussed:

1,3,5,12-14,16-17,20-21,23-27,30-32,34-36,38-46,51,54-61 and claims 11,33

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

A telephone was made to applicant's representative Attorney,Sung T Kim, Reg. No. 45,398 about the potential amendment to the claims 1,3,5,12-14,16-17,20-21,23-27,30-32,34-36,38-46,51,54-61, and cancell claims 11,33 in order to allow the case. The representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has given for an Examiner's Amendment. .